

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 J. MARK KANG (NYBN 4033999)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7050
8 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: Mark.Kang@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
15 Plaintiff,)
16 v.)
17 MANUEL BAEZ CASTRO,)
18 Defendant.)

No. No. CR12-0154 WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

19
20 The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between June 5, 2012 and July 16,
21 2012 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represent that
22 granting the exclusion would allow reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of
23 counsel and for the continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also
24 agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best
25 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 12-0154 WHA

As referenced in the Court's Order, dated June 7, 2012, the Court will waive time from June 5, 2012 to July 16, 2012, upon the receipt of a stipulation from the parties. SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

DATED: June 7, 2012

/s/
J. MARK KANG
Special Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: June 7, 2012

/s/
SHAWN HALBERT
Attorney for MANUEL BAEZ CASTRO

1 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above, and referenced in the June 7, 2012 order of this Court, the
3 Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the
4 period from June 5, 2012 through July 16, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served
5 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
6 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of
7 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence, and would deprive the defendant of the continuity of counsel. 18 U.S.C.
9 §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 Dated: June 7, 2012.



14 _____
15 William Alsup
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28